

Hanson Bridgett

Employee Benefits Webinar



Alison Wright, Partner
Hanson Bridgett LLP
415-995-5083
AWright@hansonbridgett.com



Judy Boyette, Partner
Hanson Bridgett LLP
415-995-5115
JBoyette@hansonbridgett.com



Ed Bernard, Partner
Hanson Bridgett LLP
415-995-5807
EBernard@hansonbridgett.com



Nancy Hilu, Partner
Hanson Bridgett LLP
213-395-7630
NHilu@hansonbridgett.com



Erica Russell, Associate
Hanson Bridgett LLP
415-995-5895
Erussell@hansonbridgett.com



Nancy Dollar, Associate
Hanson Bridgett LLP
415-995-5092
NDollar@hansonbridgett.com

Public Agency Employers

February 12, 2020



Webinar Agenda – Public Agency

1. Health and Welfare Plan Updates

- Affordable Care Act Updates
- California Individual Mandate
- Proposed IRS Cost-Sharing Transparency Rules
- Final DOL “Regular Rate” Regulations
- Final ICHRA and Affordability Regulations
- AB 1554 Flexible Spending Account Notices
- 2020 Health and Welfare Plan Limits

2. Qualified Plan Updates

- SECURE Act
- Bipartisan Miners Act of 2019
- Taxpayer Certainty and Disaster Tax Relief Act of 2019
- Hardship Distribution Changes
- 403(b) Plan Remedial Amendment Period
- IRS Guidance on Uncashed Distribution Checks
- New EPCRS Rules for Self-Correction

- Cash Balance Plan Determination Letters

- Vested Rights Update

- Third Six-Year Remedial Amendment Period for Preapproved Defined Benefit Plans

- 2020 Qualified Plan Limits

3. CalPERS Updates

- Uniform Allowance Reporting Guidance

- New Section 218 Agreement Fees

- State Social Security Administrator Program Newsletter

4. Other Topics

- California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA)

- AB 5 and Impact on Benefit Plans

Health and Welfare Plan Updates

Affordable Care Act Updates

Cadillac Tax Repealed

- As part of a large package of legislation signed into law on 12/20/19, Congress repealed the Cadillac Tax
 - The Affordable Care Act's (ACA) so-called "Cadillac tax" would have imposed a 40% excise tax on high-cost employer-provided health care coverage
 - Originally scheduled to take effect in 2018, implementation of the tax was repeatedly delayed
 - Effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2019, there is a complete repeal of the tax
 - May want to review bargaining agreements for references

ACA Litigation Update

- Update on Litigation Challenges to the ACA
 - On 12/18/19 Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals issued decision on constitutionality of ACA's individual mandate in *Texas v. U.S.*
 - Court found individual mandate to be unconstitutional after Congress set the penalty to \$0 in 2017 Tax Cuts and Jobs Act. But Court did not determine what this meant for the rest of the ACA provisions — instead sent the case back down to the District Court for additional analysis on the issue of severability.
 - On January 3, 2020, the Democratic attorneys general (17 states), led by California, and the House of Representatives asked the Supreme Court to review the case. If the Supreme Court grants review case will be known as *California v. Texas*.

ACA Litigation Update (Continued)

- Update on Litigation Challenges to the ACA (Continued)
 - Supreme Court has signaled that it will use its 2/21 private conference to discuss whether to consider the fate of the ACA on a fast-track schedule that would produce a decision by June 2020
 - Democrats are urging Supreme Court to hold arguments the last week of April — the final week to hear cases — or to schedule a highly unusual special sitting in May
 - Stay tuned — we should know soon if we will have any further review before the 2020 election or not

ACA Litigation Update (Continued)

- Update on Litigation Challenges to the ACA (Continued)
 - In the meantime, the ACA remains in effect (to the extent not amended by other legislation)
 - If all or most of the law were to be struck down, could have complex and far-reaching consequences for the country's health care system — would affect almost everyone in some way
 - Trump Administration has indicated that it intends to continue enforcing the ACA while the appeal is pending

ACA Information Reporting Update

- Employer Mandate is still in place
- IRS enforcement of ACA compliance in reporting and ESRPs
- Increase in information return penalties
 - For information returns due to be filed/furnished during 2020:
 - Ranging from \$50 per return (30 days or fewer) to \$550 per return for intentional disregard
- IRS notices for filing non-compliance and assessment of related penalties
- Penalty relief under “reasonable cause” or first time abatement

ACA Information Reporting Update (Continued)

- ACA information reporting to IRS for “applicable large employers”
- Notice 2019-63 extended deadline for furnishing IRS Forms 1095-C to employees
 - Due to employees March 2, 2020 (extended from January 31, 2020)
- No extension for filing IRS Forms 1095-C and transmittal IRS Form 1094-C
 - Due to IRS March 31, 2020 — if filing electronically
 - Due to IRS February 28, 2020 — if filing in paper
 - Extension available under IRS Form 8809
- Notice 2019-63 extends transitional penalty relief
 - Incomplete or incorrect IRS Forms 1095-C
 - Requires good faith effort and timely reporting compliance

ACA Penalty Enforcement Update

- Employer Shared Responsibility Payments (ESRPs) still apply
 - As noted earlier, the Trump Administration has indicated they will continue to enforce the ACA during the litigation appeal process
 - This means the IRS continues to enforce ESRPs
 - IRS Letter 226J — *preliminary* ESRP assessment. IRS website provides information on how to respond to receipt of a Letter 226J — <https://www.irs.gov/individuals/understanding-your-letter-226-j>
 - Alert your staff — must review and respond (30-day deadline)
 - Complete and file Form 14764 with any required attachments
 - Request extension if needed
 - IRS Letter 227 – IRS response to Form 14764; IRS Notice CP 220J – notice of assessment

New ACA Affordability Standard for 2020

- LC *self-only* coverage unaffordable if EE contribution > 9.5% of household income
- Safe harbor alternatives: Form W-2, Box 1, rate of pay, or federal poverty level
- IRS inflation-adjusted threshold lowered from 9.86% for 2019 to 9.78% for 2020
- Some ALEs may have to contribute more in 2020 if premium remains the same
- Failure to comply with the new affordability standard could trigger Penalty B
- Penalty B applies if ALE offers MEC to e 95% of FT EEs + dependents BUT:
 - The coverage is unaffordable or does not provide MV, AND
 - At least one EE purchases coverage on the Exchange and receives a PTC
- For 2020, $\$321.67$ ($\$3,860/12$) x #FT EEs who receive a PTC for a month
- ALEs should evaluate impact of change on affordability of LC self-only coverage

New SBC Forms for 2021

- ACA: Group Health Plans (GHPs) must provide Summary of Benefits and Coverage (SBC) to participants and beneficiaries
- The SBC must accurately describe the benefits and coverage under the plan
- The DOL and HHS recently published new SBC template and related documents
- Must use new template beginning with 2020 open enrollment for 2021 plan year
- Few changes from the template in use since 2017:
 - Revisions to reflect the elimination of the individual mandate
 - MV entry: now includes “not applicable,” in addition to “yes” or “no” for individual coverage
 - Changes to instructions for completing the coverage examples
- New DOL and HHS FAQs:
 - GHPs must use the 2021 SBC, Instructions, and Guide and Narratives beginning on 1st open enrollment period for plan years beginning on or after 1/1/2021
 - GHPs are not required to use the HHS-developed calculator to generate coverage examples, but may continue to use it even if a more accurate method could be developed

PCORI Fee Extended

- Patient-Centered Outcome Research Institute (PCORI) Fees Extended to 2029
 - The ACA created PCORI to help patients, clinicians, health plan payers/insurers, and the public make informed health decisions by advancing comparative effectiveness research
 - PCORI is funded, in part, by PCORI fees paid by health insurance issuers and sponsors of self-insured health plans
 - As a result of legislation passed in December 2019, these fees have been extended to plan years ending before October 1, 2029
 - The current amount of the fee, which is adjusted annually, is \$2.45 per enrollee for policy or plan years ending before October 1, 2019

California Individual Mandate

- Minimum Essential Coverage Individual Mandate (2019 Ch. 38, SB 78) passed in June 2019
 - Beginning 1/1/20, California residents who fail to maintain minimum essential coverage (MEC) for themselves and their dependents could owe a state tax penalty, unless they qualify for an exemption
 - Coverage that qualifies as MEC:
 - Most employer-sponsored group health plans
 - Individual health policies that meet the ACA's market requirements
 - Certain government-sponsored programs
 - University of California health coverage for students
 - Coverage that does not qualify as MEC:
 - Employer-sponsored group health plans that provide only hospital, fixed indemnity, or specific disease coverage

California Individual Mandate (Continued)

- Accident or disability income insurance
- Other “excepted benefits”
- Employer Reporting Requirements
 - Applies to entities that provide MEC to CA residents, including employers with self-insured health plans
 - Must annually report to California Franchise Tax Board (FTB) information about employees covered by the plan in the prior year
 - Requirement may be met by filing copies of IRS Form 1095-C or 1095-B with FTB
 - Penalty of \$50/covered individual for failure to comply
 - Effective for coverage provided in 2020, deadline to file forms with FTB — March 31, 2021

California Individual Mandate (Continued)

- Individual penalty for failure to maintain MEC every month of tax year:
 - Standard penalty
 - Equal to the greater of:
 - 2.5% of the taxpayer’s household income above the state income tax filing threshold, or
 - \$695/adult and \$347.50/child (up to a maximum of \$2,085)
 - Increased annually for inflation
 - If less than the standard penalty, the penalty is equal to the average premium for a “bronze” level health plan purchased at Covered California
- Exemptions
 - Penalty will not apply during months of tax year that exemption applies
 - Include:
 - Financial hardship or religious conscience, as determined by Covered California
 - Income below the state tax return filing threshold

California Individual Mandate (Continued)

- If premium contributions for health coverage exceed 8.3% of taxpayer's household income for taxable year
- For short coverage gaps of three consecutive months or less
- General hardships, e.g., homelessness, death of family member, domestic violence
- For members of health care sharing ministries
- Members of an Indian tribe
- Non-residents
- Incarcerated individuals

Proposed IRS Cost-Sharing Transparency Rules

- Proposed rules issued November 2019; response to June 24, 2019 Executive Order
- Group health plans must:
 - Upon request, provide (internet-based, self-service tool or paper):
 - A cost-sharing estimate for a requested covered item or service;
 - Accumulated amounts incurred to date;
 - Negotiated rate for an in-network provided for the requested covered item or service;
 - Out-of-network allowed amount for the requested covered item or service, if applicable;
 - A list of items or services for which cost-sharing information is disclosed, if bundled payment;
 - Any prerequisite for the covered item or service.
 - Make available (public internet website, updated monthly) in two machine-readable files:
 - Negotiated rates with in-network providers, and
 - Historical allowed amounts for covered items or services furnished by out-of-network providers
- Proposed effective for plan years beginning on or after one year after final rule effective

Final DOL “Regular Rate” Regulations

- On December 16, 2019, the DOL issued final OT regulations
- FLSA: ERs must pay non-exempt EEs OT (>40 hours) at 1.5x their “regular rate”
- “Regular rate” generally includes all amounts paid by the ER for services performed
- Except irrevocable ER contributions to trustee or 3rd person under “bona fide plan” providing old-age, retirement, life, accident, health insurance, or similar benefits
- “Bona fide plan”: EEs can’t receive any ER contributions in cash, unless “incidental”
- Key issue: when are cash-in-lieu payments under a cafeteria plan incidental?
- 2003 DOL Op. Ltr: incidental = cash-in-lieu payments ≤ 20% of ttl ER contributions
- *Flores v. City of San Gabriel*, Ninth Circuit (2016): Cash-in-lieu payments ≤ 40% of health plan contributions under Flexible Benefit Plan not incidental.
 - Cash-in-lieu payments AND direct payments to the insurer included in regular rate.
 - Declined to adopt DOL 20% threshold.

Final DOL “Regular Rate” Regulations (Continued)

- Final regulations:
 - Cash-in-lieu payments not excluded under “bona fide plan” or “other similar payments”
 - Didn’t revisit 20% threshold in response to *Flores*
 - Add ER wellness program cost to list of miscellaneous excludable expenses
 - ER contributions to an HSA or trustee HRA (but not a self-funded HRA) are excludable
 - Expand qualified retirement plan contribution presumption to 403(a) and 403(b) plans; eliminate determination letter requirement
 - Add accident, unemployment, and legal services to “bona fide plan” exclusion examples
 - Clarify payments for foregoing holidays, vacation, or sick leave excluded
 - Eliminate requirement that excludable reimbursements be “solely” for the employer’s convenience; adds cell phone plans, exam fees, and membership dues to excludable reimbursements list
 - Clarify parking benefits, gym access and memberships, onsite specialist treatment, fitness classes, retail goods and services discounts, tuition benefits, adoption assistance, and “gifts” are excluded
- Effective January 15, 2020

Final ICHRA and Affordability Regulations

- In June 2019, the DOL, Treasury, and HHS jointly issued a final rule to expand the flexibility and use of HRAs, applicable to plan years beginning on or after 1/1/20
- Two new types of HRAs were created: the individual coverage HRA (ICHRA) and the excepted benefit HRA
- All other existing types of HRAs were left intact
- ICHRA is similar to the pre-ACA non-integrated HRA design and offers employers a true defined contribution option: Employers can contribute \$'s to the ICHRA to subsidize premiums for individual health coverage purchased by employee and/or reimburse qualified medical expenses (i.e. 213(d) expenses) (but if first-dollar cost sharing would not be compatible with an HSA under existing rules)

Final ICHRA and Affordability Regulations (Continued)

- ICHRA Requirements
 - Individual coverage = coverage under the ACA or private exchanges, student health insurance, and in some cases Medicare coverage. Must meet ACA mandates banning annual limits on essential coverage and requiring preventative care without cost sharing.
 - Individual coverage must be validated
 - Cannot offer a choice of employer group health plan or an ICHRA
 - Can be offered to limited class of employees; no separate class for retirees
 - No limit on amount (but amount for oldest may not be more than 3x amount for youngest)

Final ICHRA and Affordability Regulations (Continued)

- ICHRA and ACA Considerations
 - Must satisfy ACA affordability and minimum value for group health plans (including an ICHRA) or pay the ACA ESRP resulting
 - ICHRA participant is NOT eligible for premium subsidy (which would only be available if ICHRA does not meet affordability or minimum value)
 - ICHRA participant must have option to opt out at least once a year in advance
 - Newly eligible ICHRA participant triggers 60-day special enrollment period for ACA exchange coverage
 - Notice Requirements: At least 90 days prior to plan year. DOL has published ICHRA model notice
 - All applicable ERISA, ACA, and other reporting and disclosure requirements apply

Final ICHRA and Affordability Regulations (Continued)

- ICHRA and ACA Affordability
 - Final rules on ICHRA affordability safe harbors were issued in November 2019 which largely track earlier Notice 2018-88
 - Still cautions on Code section 105(h) compliance related to varying contribution rates
 - ***ICHRA affordability safe harbors***
 - Affordability using the W-2, rate of pay and Federal Poverty Line safe harbors can be determined based on applying newly proposed affordability safe harbors:
 - **Look-back month safe harbor** Final rules adopt Notice 2018-88, with some modifications. For calendar year plan, can use the monthly premium for the applicable lowest cost silver plan for January of the prior calendar year. For a non-calendar year plan, can use the monthly premium for the applicable lowest cost silver plan for January of the current calendar year. In general, can use the applicable lowest cost silver plan for the applicable look-back month for all calendar months of the plan year.

Final ICHRA and Affordability Regulations (Continued)

- ICHRA and ACA Affordability
 - ***ICHRA affordability safe harbors-continued***
 - **The location safe harbor** Again, with some modifications, follows Notice 2018-88. Under the general rule, can determine required HRA contribution for a calendar month based on cost of applicable lowest cost silver plan for the location of the employee's *primary site of employment*. Special rule for “remote workers” (those who regularly perform services from home or another location not on the employer's premises) but have an assigned place on premises where could be required to report — can use that employer site as the primary site of employment. If employee has no assigned employer premises, employee's residence is the primary site of employment.

AB 1554 Flexible Spending Account Notices

- Effective 1/1/20, California employers must provide two different forms of notice to employees who participate in a flexible spending account of any deadline to withdraw funds before the end of the plan year
- Forms of notice may include but are not limited to:
 - Email
 - Telephone
 - Text message
 - Mail
 - In-person notification
- Employers should retain record of each notice sent to confirm compliance

2020 Health and Welfare Plan Limits

	2020	2019
Health FSA Contribution Limit	\$2,750	\$2,700
Dependent Care FSA Contribution Limit (single)	\$2,500	\$2,500
Dependent Care FSA Contribution Limit (married, filing jointly)	\$5,000	\$5,000
HSA Contribution Limit (individual)	\$3,550	\$3,500
HSA Contribution Limit (family)	\$7,100	\$7,000
HSA Catch-up Contribution Limit	\$1,000	\$1,000
Qualified Small Employer HRA Contribution Limit (individual)	\$5,250	\$5,150
Qualified Small Employer HRA Contribution Limit (family)	\$10,600	\$10,450

Qualified Plan Updates

SECURE Act

- Setting Every Community Up for Retirement Enhancement Act (SECURE Act) was signed into law on December 20, 2019
- Increase in Age for Required Beginning Date for Required Minimum Distributions (“RMDs”)
 - Age increased from 70½ to 72
 - New required beginning date age only applies to individuals who attain age 70½ after December 31, 2019
 - Individuals who attained age 70½ on or before December 31, 2019 must begin to take (or continue to take) required minimum distributions

SECURE Act (Continued)

- Modification of Required Minimum Distribution Rules for Designated Beneficiaries – Acceleration of Post-Death Distributions (DC Plans Only)
 - Old Rule: Beneficiaries can take distributions over their life expectancies
 - New Rule: “Designated Beneficiaries” must receive distributions within 10-years
 - Exception: “Eligible Designated Beneficiaries” may still take distributions over their lives or life expectancies
 - An “Eligible Designated Beneficiary” is an individual who is a surviving spouse, disabled or chronically ill, not more than 10-years younger than participant, or a minor child (until the age of majority)
 - Other exceptions also apply
 - Effective for distributions with respect to employees who die after December 31, 2019 (December 31, 2021 for governmental plans)

SECURE Act (Continued)

- Distributions for Expenses Related to a “Qualified Birth or Adoption” of a Child
- Up to \$5,000 can be treated as a qualified birth or adoption withdrawal
 - Exempt from the 10% tax on distributions taken prior to attaining age 59½
 - Plan can allow participants to repay the qualified birth or adoption distribution
 - Can be offered by 401(k) plans, 403(b) plans, and governmental 457(b) plans
 - Effective for plan years beginning after December 31, 2019
 - Optional benefit feature
- Difficulty of Care Payments
 - Difficulty of care payments made to home care workers can be treated as compensation for purposes of making contributions to a defined contribution plan (even though these payments are excluded from federal income tax)
 - Effective for plan years beginning after January 31, 2015

SECURE Act (Continued)

- Loans
 - Qualified plans are prohibited from making plan loan through credit cards
 - Effective for loans made after December 31, 2019
- Portability of Lifetime Income
 - Where a lifetime income investment is removed from a defined contribution plan's (401(k), 403(b), or Governmental 457(b) Plan) investment lineup, the plan can allow participant to take a distribution of his or her lifetime income investment
 - Distributions must be made through direct trustee-to-trustee transfer to another eligible retirement plan or as a qualified plan distribution annuity contract distributed directly to the participant
 - This exception to the in-service distribution rules only applies to lifetime income investments distributed from a plan no earlier than 90-days prior to the date the lifetime income investment option is no longer an authorized investment option under the plan
 - Effective for plan years beginning after December 31, 2019

SECURE Act (Continued)

- Treatment of Custodial Accounts upon Termination of a 403(b) Plan
 - Upon termination of a 403(b) plan, custodial accounts can be distributed in-kind to a participant or beneficiary
 - Once distributed, the custodial accounts retain their tax-deferred status until benefits are paid to the participant or beneficiary as long as compliance with the 403(b) plan rules in effect at the time of the in-kind distribution continues
 - Effective for tax years beginning after 2008
- Plan Amendment Adoption Deadline
 - On or before the last day of the first plan year, beginning on or after January 1, 2024

SECURE Act (Continued)

- Extended Deadline for Adopting New Plans
 - Allows an employer to adopt a qualified retirement plan after the close of a taxable year so long as it is adopted before the deadline for filing the employer's tax return for the taxable year
 - Applies to plans adopted for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2019
 - Unclear whether IRS will apply similar rule to employers who do not file tax returns
- Increase in Penalty for Failure to Provide Withholding Notices
 - \$100 per failure up to \$50,000
 - The increased penalty applies to any notice required to be provided after December 31, 2019

Bipartisan American Miners Act of 2019

- Reduction in Minimum Age for In-Service Distributions
 - Minimum age for in-service distribution from a Defined Benefit Plan reduced from age 62 to age 59½
 - Minimum age for in-service distribution from a Governmental 457(b) Plan reduced from age 70½ to age 59½
 - Effective for plan years beginning after December 31, 2019

Taxpayer Certainty and Disaster Tax Relief Act of 2019

- Qualified Disaster Relief Distribution
 - “Qualified Disaster Distributions” is a distribution from an eligible retirement plan made to an individual whose principal place of abode (at any time during the incident period of such qualified disaster) is located in the qualified disaster area because such individual sustained an economic loss as a result of such qualified disaster
 - Up to \$100,000 available (aggregate all plans) for each qualified disaster
 - Exempt from 10% early distribution penalty
 - Qualified disaster distributions can be repaid (within 3 years of the distribution)
 - Income taxes due on the qualified disaster distribution can be paid over 3-tax years
 - Can be offered under a 401(k) Plan, 403(b) Plan or Governmental 457(b) Plan
 - Available for distributions made within 180-days after December 20, 2019

Taxpayer Certainty and Disaster Tax Relief Act of 2019 (Continued)

- Disaster-Related Plan Loan Provisions:
 - Loan relief for “qualified individuals” – individual whose principal abode (during any portion of the incident period of a qualified disaster) was located in the qualified disaster area and such individual sustained an economic loss as a result of the qualified disaster
 - Maximum available loan amount is increased to \$100,000 (or 100% of present value of individual’s vested account balance)
 - Delay loan repayments due on or after the date of the disaster and ending 180 days after the last day of the disaster by 1 year (or, if later, 180 days from 12/31/2019)
 - Available for loans made within 180-days after December 20, 2019
- Plan amendment deadline is on or before the last day of the plan year beginning on or after January 1, 2022

Hardship Distribution Changes

- On 9/23/19, IRS published final regulations that amend the rules for hardship distributions
- Applies only to hardship distributions from:
 - Qualified plans, e.g., 401(k) plans
 - 403(b) plans
- Generally effective for hardship distributions made on or after 1/1/20
- Key changes include:
 - No suspension of elective and employee contributions after hardship distribution (applies to contributions to qualified plans, 403(b) plans and eligible governmental 457(b) plans)
 - No requirement to take all available loans from employer's plans before taking a hardship distribution
 - Replaced facts-and-circumstances test with one general standard

Hardship Distribution Changes (Continued)

- Expanded sources for hardship distributions including:
 - Elective contributions
 - Qualified nonelective contributions
 - Qualified matching contributions
 - Earnings on those amounts
- Permits plans to require that additional conditions (other than suspension of elective and employee contributions) be met for hardship distributions
- Expanded list of safe harbor “deemed” hardship distribution events to include expenses/losses incurred by participant due to federally declared disaster if participant’s principal residence or place of employment located in disaster area
- Eliminated requirement that expenses incurred to repair damage to participant’s residence that would qualify for the casualty deduction under section 165 must be attributable to federally declared disaster
- Permits hardship distribution for qualifying medical, educational and funeral expenses incurred by participant’s primary beneficiary under the plan

Hardship Distribution Changes (Continued)

- Required Employee Statement
 - Statement that other sources are unavailable to satisfy the need applies only to those assets that are “reasonably available”
 - Permitted to be given electronically, which includes a verbal representation over a recorded phone line
- Plan Amendment Deadlines
 - Covered in next section

403(b) Plan Remedial Amendment Period

- In the fall of 2019, the IRS issued new guidance (Rev. Proc. 2019-39) that establishes a new recurring remedial amendment period for 403(b) plans and extends the initial remedial amendment period beyond 3/31/2020 in certain circumstances
 - The initial remedial amendment period for 403(b) plans will end 3/31/2020
 - Employers can self-correct plan provisions that violate the 403(b) written plan rules by adopting either a 403(b) pre-approved plan that has a 2017 opinion or advisory letter or amending their individually designed plan by 3/31/2020 retroactive to 1/1/2010
 - If you haven't reviewed your 403(b) plan since prior to 1/1/2010, you should do so now

403(b) Plan Remedial Amendment Period (Continued)

- Rev. Proc. 2019-39 establishes a new recurring remedial amendment period for 403(b) plans
 - New recurring remedial amendment period for individually designed plans – Required Changes. Under Rev. Proc. 2019-39, form defects (i.e., required changes) have to be corrected by the last day of the second calendar year, following the calendar year in which the failure occurred. Similar to the 401(a) rules, the 403(b) plan must be operated in accordance with changes when they become effective. For governmental plans, the ability to amend ends on the later of the last day of the second calendar year, following the calendar year in which the failure occurred or 90 days after the close of the third regular legislative session of the legislative body with the authority to amend the plan that begins after the end of the calendar year in which the failure occurred. (Note: For terminating plans, any required amendments must be adopted as part of the plan termination.)

403(b) Plan Remedial Amendment Period (Continued)

- Rev. Proc. 2019-39 establishes a new recurring remedial amendment period for 403(b) plans (Continued)
 - New recurring remedial amendment period for individually designed plans – Voluntary Changes. Under Rev. Proc. 2019-39, discretionary amendments (i.e., voluntary changes) have to be made by the last day of the plan year in which the amendment was effective. For governmental plans, discretionary amendments must be adopted by the later of the end of the plan year in which the amendment was put into effect, or 90 days after the close of the second regular legislative session of the legislative body with the authority to amend the plan that begins after the effective date of the amendment.
 - There is a limited extension of the 3/31/2020 end date of the initial period for discretionary amendments (i.e., a discretionary amendment effective 1/1/2018 can still be corrected until 12/31/2020 (end of this calendar year), but required changes have to be made by 3/31/2020).

403(b) Plan Remedial Amendment Period (Continued)

- Rev. Proc. 2019-39 establishes a new recurring remedial amendment period for 403(b) plans (Continued)
 - 403(b) Plan required changes now will be added to the IRS annual required amendments list — like 401(a) plans, being listed as a required amendment on the annual list will start the period running for making required amendments
 - IRS also will begin to include changes to Code section 403(b) to list of changes where operational compliance is required before amendments to the plan that IRS maintains

403(b) Plan Remedial Amendment Period (Continued)

- Rev. Proc. 2019-39 establishes a 403(b) pre-approved plan cycle system similar to the 401(a) pre-approved plan cycles
 - A 403(b) plan will be allowed to apply for a pre-approved determination letter during a one-year period beginning at the start of the cycle
 - An entity that sponsors a 403(b) plan as a word-for-word or minor modifier of a plan that received a letter during the initial cycle for pre-approved 403(b) plans (i.e. in the period ending 3/31/2020) will be considered to have a cycle 1 plan
 - The IRS submission period for cycle 2 is not expected to begin until 2023, and the IRS will issue guidance prior to the commencement of cycle 2 submissions
 - Similar amendment deadlines apply as those for individually designed plans

Example of Defined Contribution Plan Remedial Amendment Period—Hardship Changes

- As an example of how the remedial amendment process will work, the Plan Amendment Deadlines for 403(b) and 401(k) plans will be as follows:
 - Non-governmental plans: 2019 Required Amendments List (RAL): Must amend to conform to final regulations relating to hardship distributions: if have suspension of elective deferrals as condition for hardship distribution or do not require representation that employee has insufficient cash or other liquid assets reasonably available to satisfy hardship; must be amended to eliminate the suspension and provide for the representation for hardship distributions effective on or after 1/1/20. Since this is a required change, the amendment must be adopted by the last day of the second calendar year following the calendar year in which the change was published in the RAL. Because these changes were published in the 2019 RAL, this means that the deadline for hardship amendments is 12/31/21
 - Governmental plans: The deadline for adopting the required amendment ends on the later of the last day of the second calendar year following the calendar year in which the change was published in the 2019 RAL (12/31/21) or 90 days after the close of the third regular legislative session that begins after the calendar year in which the change was effective.
 - Preapproved plans —Rev. Proc. 2020-9 extended deadline to adopt interim amendment to 12/31/21

Third Six-Year Remedial Amendment Period for Preapproved Defined Benefit Plans

- Under Rev. Proc. 2020-10, for pre-approved defined benefit plans:
 - Third six-year remedial amendment cycle
 - May 1, 2020 - January 31, 2025
 - On-cycle submission period for providers to submit for opinion letters
 - August 1, 2020 - July 31, 2021

IRS Guidance on Uncashed Distribution Checks

- Revenue Ruling 2019-19: Uncashed Retirement Plan Checks
 - When a participant or beneficiary fails to cash a check issued to her or him from a retirement plan:
 - The participant or beneficiary must still recognize the distribution as taxable income in the tax year the check is issued
 - The plan sponsor is still required to perform income tax withholding. The recipient's failure to cash the check does not change plan sponsor's obligation to withhold and remit taxes on the distribution
 - The plan sponsor is still required to report the distribution on Form 1099-R, if it exceeds the reporting threshold

New EPCRS Rules for Self-Correction

- IRS Rev. Proc. 2019-19 (April 19, 2019) significantly expanded the types of qualified plan failures that can be self-corrected under EPCRS
- Self-correction: alternative to VCP — no application, fee, or IRS approval required
 - Favorable determination letter & established compliance practices & procedures required
- Self-correction of plan document failures:
 - What is a plan document failure?
 - Applies to qualified plans and 403(b) plans
 - Does not apply to failure to adopt a qualified plan or adopt a 403(b) plan timely
 - Always “significant” — must be corrected by end of 2nd full plan year after failure
 - Must have a favorable determination letter

New EPCRS Rules for Self-Correction (Continued)

- Self-correction of operational failures by plan amendment — three conditions:
 - The amendment must increase a benefit, right, or feature (BRF)
 - The increase in the BRF must be available to all eligible employees
 - The BRF increase must comply with the IRC and general EPCRS correction principles

Note: general self-correction conditions apply (e.g., if the operational failure is “significant,” the amendment must be adopted by correction deadline described above)
- Self-correction of certain plan loan failures:
 - Self-correction of # of loans in excess of plan limit by plan amendment — conditions:
 - The amendment satisfies IRC § 401(a)
 - The plan as amended would have satisfied IRC § 401(a) (and the IRC’s loan rules) had it been adopted and effective when loans were first available
 - Plan loans were available to either all participants or solely to those who were NHCEs

New EPCRS Rules for Self-Correction (Continued)

- Self-correction of failure to report deemed distribution of defaulted loan on Form 1099-R for year of default by reporting it on Form 1099-R in year of correction
- Failure to obtain spousal consent for a loan can now be self-corrected by notifying the affected spouse and obtaining consent; if no consent, VCP or Audit CAP is required
- Defaulted loans can now be self-corrected by:
 - A single-sum corrective payment equal to the missed loan repayments, plus interest;
 - Reamortizing the outstanding loan balance (including interest) over the remaining original term or the remaining term had the loan been amortized over the maximum period under the IRC; or
 - Any combination of the above
- Certain failures remain ineligible for self-correction:
 - Plan loans that, when made, violate statutory limits or amortization or repayment periods
 - Employer “eligibility” or “demographic” failures

Cash Balance Plan Determination Letters

- The IRS previously closed its periodic individually designed plan determination letter program (still issues determination letters for new and terminating plans)
- Notice 2019-20, issued May 1, 2019, expanded determination letter program for:
 - Statutory hybrid plans, including cash balance plans, submitted between September 1, 2019, and August 31, 2020; and
 - Certain merged plans on an ongoing basis.
- If you sponsor a cash balance plan, this window offers a rare opportunity to get a more current determination letter on your plan.

Vested Rights Update



Vested Rights Update (Continued)

- What are “vested rights”?
 - Retirement benefit promised during employment with public agency
 - Accrues on acceptance of employment
 - Even if still forfeitable for years
 - For entire length of employment with agency

(Kern v. City of Long Beach, 29 Cal.2d 848 (1947); Allen v. City of Long Beach, 45 Cal.2d 128(1955))

 - No vested rights for new hires
 - Limited ability to change for future service under current case law – but potential clarification before California Supreme Court

Vested Rights Update (Continued)

- What is the basis for these vested rights?
 - California (Art. 1, Section 9) & U.S. Constitution
 - Cannot impair or interfere with contract
 - Terms of contract determine what rights are “vested” and extent of “vesting”
 - What are the terms?
 - Do terms allow adverse change? (*Int’l Ass’n of Firefighters, Local 145 v. City of San Diego*, 34 Cal.3d 292 (1983))

Vested Rights Update (Continued)

- On March 4, 2019 the California Supreme Court ruled in *CalFire Local 2881, et al. v. CalPERS, et al.*, the first of 5 pending vested rights cases currently waiting to be heard by the Supreme Court
 - *CalFire* was an appeal from a unanimous published court of appeal decision upholding the constitutionality of one of the changes made in the PEPRA legislation that applied to classic and new members of public retirement systems in California covered by PEPRA
 - Involved a claim that Legislature had violated the vested rights of public retirement system members when it eliminated prospectively the ability of members who had earned at least five years of retirement service credit to purchase up to another five years of “nonqualified retirement service credit”, i.e. time not worked (referred to as purchase of “airtime”)
 - The appellate court had focused on Legislative intent at the time the statute was enacted and concluded, as had the trial court, that nothing in statutory language or legislative history unambiguously stated an intent to create a vested pension benefit (this was picked up from the *REAOC* case)

Vested Rights Update (Continued)

- California Supreme Court held in *CalFire* that
 - Retirement system members did not have a vested right to purchase “airtime.”
 - Supreme Court determined that “airtime” was not like a pension since the decision to purchase belonged to each person and was not based on actual service with the employer. The Supreme Court was not convinced that the option to purchase airtime was constitutionally protected merely because it affected the pension amount.
 - Supreme Court expressly declined to alter or amend the so-called “California Rule”, the doctrine established in prior case law dealing with pension vested rights.
- Several other cases still pending that more directly raise changes to pension benefits
 - The California Supreme Court soon scheduling oral argument (*Marin Association of Public Employees v. Marin County Employees’ Retirement Association* and *Alameda County Deputy Sheriff’s Association v. Alameda County Employees’ Retirement Association*).

Qualified Plan Updates—Vested Rights-Stay Tuned in 2020– Could Be Exciting! Like December 2019 in Paris?



2020 Qualified Plan Limits

	2020	2019
Elective Deferral Limit (401(k), 403(b) and eligible 457(b))	\$19,500	\$19,000
Catch-up Contribution Limit – Age 50 or Older	\$6,500	\$6,000
415(b) Dollar Limit on Annual Benefits under Defined Benefit Plan	\$230,000	\$225,000
415(c) Dollar Limit on Annual Allocations under Defined Contribution Plan	\$57,000	\$56,000
401(a)(17) Annual Compensation Limit	\$285,000	\$280,000
Annual Compensation Limit for Eligible Participants in Certain Gov't Plans	\$425,000	\$415,000
Highly Compensated Employees	\$130,000	\$125,000
Key Employees	\$185,000	\$180,000
Social Security Wage Base	\$137,700	\$132,900

CalPERS Updates

Uniform Allowance Reporting Guidance

- Circular Letter 200-050-19 (10/30/2019) guidance re uniform allowance reporting
- A response to recent uniform allowance reporting failures, appeals, and settlements
- Compensation earnable — two components: payrate and special compensation
- Uniform allowance:
 - Statutory special compensation
 - Applies only to classic members
 - The amount paid by the employer to employees, or the *rental, purchase, or maintenance* cost paid by the employer, for required clothing that is a *ready substitute for personal attire* that the employee would otherwise have to acquire and maintain
 - Includes clothing made from specially designed protective fabrics
 - Excludes items solely for personal health and safety (e.g., protective vests, pistols, bullets and safety shoes)

Uniform Allowance Reporting Guidance (Continued)

- Rules for determining reportable uniform allowance:
 - Reportable: required clothing that is a ready substitute for personal attire
 - Specially-designed protective fabrics or other features that serve a safety purpose disregarded
 - Merely labeling required clothing “safety” in MOU or failing to include it altogether invalid basis for failing to report
- Examples of reportable items: dress shoes, pants, polo shirts, slacks, and socks
- A more exhaustive list of examples of nonreportable items — e.g., coveralls and lab coats
- Contracting agencies can report uniform allowances by either:
 - Reporting the aggregate amount for each pay period in the earned period report, or
 - Reporting a retroactive special compensation adjustment that includes a payroll record start and end date matching the start and end date of the uniform allowance for each fiscal year

Social Security Administrator Program Newsletter

- The Winter 2020 SSAP Newsletter highlights two key Social Security issues:
 - Mandatory Social Security Coverage
 - Applies to government employees not covered by a qualifying public retirement system or a section 218 agreement — must withhold Social Security taxes
 - If covered by a qualifying public retirement system, a voluntary section 218 agreement is required for Social Security coverage
 - Classification of Board Members
 - Generally, governing board members, whether elected or appointed, are public officers under state law and therefore employees, not independent contractors, under IRS rules
 - If so, federal income and FICA (Medicare and, if applicable, Social Security) tax withholding and reporting is required (Form W-2, not Form 1099)
 - If the agency's retirement system covers board members, Social Security tax withholding may not be required
 - If the agency's retirement system covers board members and has a section 218 agreement, Social Security tax withholding may be required, unless position excluded from 218 agreement

New Section 218 Agreement Fees

- CalPERS introduced new fees to fund its services as the California SSSA
- Effective July 1, 2019, CalPERS implemented two new types of fees:
 - \$650 contracting fee to establish or amend Section 218 Agreement
 - Annual maintenance fees for an existing Section 218 Agreement
 - Assessed based on total number of employees (even if not all covered)
 - Invoiced with the Annual Information Request

New Section 218 Agreement Fees (Continued)

Number of Employees	Annual Maintenance Fee
1 - 4	\$200
5 - 9	\$250
10 - 19	\$300
20 - 49	\$400
50 - 99	\$500
100 - 249	\$1,000
250 - 499	\$1,500
500 - 999	\$2,000
1000+	\$2,500

Other Topics

California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA)

- California Consumer Privacy Act effective January 1, 2020
- Does not apply to government entities — but applies to their service providers
- AB 25 Employee Exception
 - Employees are not consumers under the CCPA and do not have the same rights
 - Employers do have to provide employees and job applicants with a notice regarding the categories of personal information the employer collects about them and the purpose for doing so
 - Employers may be subject to a private right of action for securing and protecting employee information
 - AB 25 expires January 1, 2021
 - More to come in 2020
- HIPAA still applies

AB 5 and Impact on Benefit Plans

- If an independent contractor is reclassified as employee, he or she will likely be eligible to participate in employee benefit plans on a going forward basis
- Employee benefit plans may contain “Microsoft” provisions whereby an independent contractor who is reclassified as an employee by a government agency, court, or other third party is not retroactively eligible to participate in the plan

AB 5 and Impact on Benefit Plans (Continued)

- There may be a different result under the Affordable Care Act
- The ACA specifically states that reclassified employees count as employees for purposes of complying with the 95% rule
 - Employers must offer coverage to substantially all full-time employees
- A reclassification of a significant number of independent contractors could cause the employer to fail the 95% rule
- **HOWEVER**, there is no guidance from the IRS on this issue
 - The IRS is not bound by AB 5 and has its own 20-factor test
 - Likely to see little to no enforcement of AB 5 in 2020

Questions?